Z-MEDICA®

QUIKCLOT COMBAT GAUZE® ATTRIBUTE GUIDE

ATTRIBUTES	QuikClot Combat Gauze®	CELOX™ Gauze	XSTAT®	ChitoGauze®	CELOX™ RAPID (Not CoTCCC Recommended as of 2019)
CoTCCC Recommended since 2008 as the hemostatic dressing of choice . ¹	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Activates Factor XII in the clotting cascade. ²	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Accelerates body's natural clotting mechanism. 2/3	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Numerous independent studies verifying clot stability . 41516	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Contains no animal/shellfish products. 7/8/9/10	YES	NO	YES	NO	NO
Hemostatic dressing with the most peer-reviewed (Military & Civilian) published clinical literature. ¹¹	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Independently safety tested for risk of thrombi/emboli post vessel repair. 12	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
Comprehensive product line from Point of Injury (QuikClot Combat Gauze®) to Surgical Care (internal organ space - QuikClot Control +®) in the US. ¹³	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO

- 1. Tactical Combat Casualty Care Guidelines 1 Aug 2018. https://deployedmedicine.com/content/40. Accessed January 16, 2019.
- 2. Lamb KM, Pitcher HT, Cavarocchi NC, Hirose H. Vascular Site Hemostasis in Percutaneous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Therapy. Open Cardiovasc Thorac Surg J. 2012;5:8-10.
- 3. Trabattoni D, Montorsi P, Fabbiocchi F, Lualdi A, Gatto P, Bartorelli AL. A new kaolin-based haemostatic bandage compared with manual compression for bleeding control after percutaneous coronary procedures. Eur Radiol. 2011;21:1687-1691
- 4. Garcia-Blanco J, Gegel B, Burgert J, Johnson S, Johnson D. The effects of movement on hemorrhage when QuikClot® Combat Gauze™ is used in a hypothermic hemodiluted porcine model. J Spec Oper Med. 2015 Spring;15(1):57-60.
- 5. Johnson D, Westbrook DM, Phelps D, Blanco J, Bentlye M, Burgert J, Gegel B. The effects of QuikClot Combat Gauze on hemorrhage control when used in a porcine model of lethal femoral injury. Am J Disaster Med. 2014 Fall; 9(4):309-315.
- 6. Gegel B, Burgert J, Gasko J, Campbell C, Martens M, Keck J, Reynolds H, Loughren M, Johnson D. The effects of QuikClot Combat Gauze® and movement on hemorrhage control in porcine model. Mil Med. 2012; 177.12: 1543-1547.
- 7. CELOX™ http://www.celoxmedical.com/wp-content/uploads/Celox-Gauze-Z-Fold-data-sheet.pdf. Accessed January 16, 2019.
- 8. QuikClot® https://quikclot.com/QuikClot/About-QuikClot. Accessed January 16, 2019.
- 9. XSTAT® https://www.revmedx.com/xstat. Accessed July 30, 2019
- 10. ChitoGauze® https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf15/K153582.pdf. Accessed June 26, 2019
- 11. Boulton AJ, Lewis CT, Naumann DN, Midwinter MJ. Prehospital haemostatic dressings for trauma: a systematic review. Emerg Med J. 2018; 35: 449-457.
- 12. QuikClot® https://quikclot.com/QuikClot/Products. Accessed January 16, 2019.
- 13. Kheirabadi B, Mace J, Terrazas I, Fedyk C, Estep J, Dubick M, Blackbourne L. Safety Evaluation of New Hemostatic Agents, Smectite Granules, and Kaolin-Coated Gauze in a Vascular Injury Wound Model in Swine. J Trauma. 2010 Feb;68(2):269-78.

This attribute guide was created by referencing the sources listed above. No head-to-head clinical trials were conducted to develop this attribute guide.



IT'S QUIKCLOT OR IT'S NOT

Pre-hospital Data

2015 Mayo Clinic 95% successful hemostasis

QuikClot®

Combat Gauze®

Battlefield Data Consistent Hemostasis in Humans

1. Prehospital Use of Hemostatic Bandages and Tourniquets:

Translation from Military Experience to Implementation in Civilian Trauma Care.

Zietlow JM, Zietlow SP, Morris DS, Berns KS, Jenkins DH. J Spec Oper Med. 2015;15(2):48-53

- This retrospective study highlights the use of 62 QuikClot Combat Gauze® dressings in 52 patients. The injuries treated with QuikClot Combat Gauze® were 50% head and neck, 35% penetrating wounds, and 15% other mechanisms of injury.
- QuikClot Combat Gauze® "was highly successful at stopping bleeding, with 59 of 62 injuries (95%) achieved hemostasis."
- · "The use of tourniquets and hemostatic gauze in pre-hospital civilian care is safe and highly effective, with success rates of 98.7% and 95% respectively." The authors note the importance of initial training and that skills are maintained at 98% in two years "despite infrequent use of only about two times per month."

2. QuikClot® Combat Gauze® Use by Ground Forces in Afghanistan the Prehospital Trauma Registry Experience.

Schauer SG, April MD, Naylor JF, et al. J Spec Oper Med. 2017;17(2):101-106

- This retrospective study compared outcomes between patients treated with QuikClot Combat Gauze® (QCCG) and those who were not (but were treated using other means) based on data from the Prehospital Trauma Registry (PHTR) and DoD Trauma Registry (DODTR).
- Hemorrhage was controlled **88.3**% in the QCCG group. No statistical difference was seen in survival between QCCG and non-QCCG patients; however, QCCG patients had higher rates of gunshot wounds and more severe injuries or sickness than the non-QCCG group.
- The study concludes that the "success rates for hemostatic control compared with other published data support the use of QCCG in the prehospital combat setting."

Battlefield Data

2015 Israel Defense Forces 91.9%³

3. Prehospital use of hemostatic dressings by the Israel Defense Forces Medical Corps: A case series of 122 patients.

Shina A, Lipsky AM, Nadler R, et al. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2015;79(4):S204-S209

- The study compiled 122 prehospital cases where QuikClot Combat Gauze® (QCG) was applied 133 times between January 2009 and September 2014 by the Israeli Defense Forces.
- Injuries were penetrating (85.2%), blunt (3.3%) and combined (11.5%).
- "Hemorrhage control with the hemostatic dressing was reported to be successful in 88.6% of junctional applications and in 91.9% of nonjunctional applications. These results suggest that the QCG is an effective tool for hemorrhage control in both junctional and nonjunctional injuries."
- "Of note, in five patients, successful dressing application [QuikClot] was used after tourniquet failure."

2017 88.3%²